



RENOVATIONS TO THE AGRIBANK OTJEROKU BRANCH IN OTJIWARONGO, OTJOZONDJUPA REGION, NAMIBIA

Ref: W/ONB/AGRI –04/2020-2021

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

[Issued in terms of section 7(1)(i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015]

1. Scope of Contract:

Renovations to the Agribank Otjeroku Branch in Otjiwarongo

2. Procurement method used:

Open National Bidding

3. Date of Invitation of Bids

30 March 2021

4. Closing date for submission of bids

28 April 2021

5. Date and place of opening of bids

28 April 2021, 1st Floor, Agribank Building, 10 Post Street Mall

6. Number of bids received by closing date:

11

7. Responsiveness of bids:

11

Bidder' s Name	Pricing at Bid Opening N\$
Veshat Investments CC	3,827,902.65
Florida Trading CC	6,135,264.84
Muthakaz Investment CC	5,097,091.65
Radical Truss Industries Pty (Ltd) JV NGC Investments CC	5,001,302.72
Ndakalimwe Investments CC	6,265,136.65
Legacy Projects CC	6,121,255.03
Onghushe Investments CC	2,748,845.00
Sisi Consultancy and Technical Services CC	4,995,979.50
Smek Trading Enterprise CC JV Tulu Trading Enterprise CC	4,851,743.77
Kunene River Construction & Electrical CC	5,782,987.82
#Nu#Goaes MS Trading CC	5,683,636.64

8. Responsiveness of Bid(S) Stage 1:

#	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1	Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Administrative and Eligibility Requirements	
1	Veshat Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
2	Florida Trading CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
3	Muthakaz Investment CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
4	Radical Truss Industries Pty (Ltd) JV NGC Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
5	Ndakalimwe Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
6	Legacy Projects CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
7	Onghushe Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
8	Sisi Consultancy and Technical Services CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
9	Smek Trading Enterprise CC JV Tulu Trading Enterprise CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
10	Kunene River Construction & Electrical CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
11	#Nu#Goaes MS Trading CC	Responsive	Not Applicable

9. Responsiveness of Bid(S) Stage 2:

#	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 2	Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Legal Admissibility Evaluation (Mandatory Requirements)	
1	Veshat Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
2	Florida Trading CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
3	Muthakaz Investment CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
4	Radical Truss Industries Pty (Ltd) JV NGC Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
5	Ndakalimwe Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
6	Legacy Projects CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
7	Onghushe Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
8	Sisi Consultancy and Technical Services CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
9	Smek Trading Enterprise CC JV Tulu Trading Enterprise CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
10	Kunene River Construction & Electrical CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
11	#Nu#Goaes MS Trading CC	Responsive	Not Applicable

10. Responsiveness of Bid(S) Stage 3:

#	Bidder's Name	Reasons why bids are NOT responsive		Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
1	Veshat Investments CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<p><u>In terms of evaluation criteria section IV:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No evidence of Local / Namibian Agent or Partner for after-sales service and maintenance on the UPS. ▪ No evidence of at least 5 similar Mechanical Installation projects: ≥ N\$ 5 million cumulative value AND Reference Letter per project. ▪ No evidence of Local / Namibian Agent or Partner for after-sales service and maintenance on mechanical installation. ▪ No evidence of Mechanical Foreman: Qualified Mechanical Technician with Level 3/ N3 Diploma and 10 years of experience in Mechanical installations. ▪
2	Florida Trading CC	Responsive	Considered	Not Applicable
3	Muthakaz Investment CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<p><u>In terms of evaluation criteria section IV:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No evidence of at Least 5 similar Civil/Structural projects, ≥ N\$ 20 million cumulative value AND Reference Letter per project. ▪ No evidence of Cenored Registration. ▪ No evidence of Local / Namibian Agent or Partner for after-sales service and maintenance on the UPS. ▪ No evidence of Mechanical Foreman: Qualified Mechanical Technician with Level 3/ N3 Diploma and 10 years of experience in Mechanical installations.

#	Bidder's Name	Reasons why bids are NOT responsive		Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
4	Radical Truss Industries Pty (Ltd) JV NGC Investments CC	Responsive	Considered	Not Applicable
5	Ndakalimwe Investments CC	Responsive	Considered	Not Applicable
6	Legacy Projects CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<u>In terms of evaluation criteria section IV:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No evidence of at least 5 similar Electrical Installation projects: ≥ N\$ 5 million cumulative value AND Reference Letter per project. ▪ No evidence of Local / Namibian Agent or Partner for after-sales service and maintenance on the UPS. ▪ No evidence of at least 5 similar Mechanical Installation projects: ≥ N\$ 5 million cumulative value AND Reference Letter per project. ▪ No evidence of Local / Namibian Agent or Partner for after-sales service and maintenance on mechanical installation. ▪ Site Agent not meeting full requirements. ▪ General Foreman not meeting full requirements. ▪ Mechanical Foreman not meeting full requirements. ▪ Not fully meeting the requirements on at last three years financial statements.
7	Onghushe Investments CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<u>In terms of evaluation criteria section IV:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No evidence of at least 5 similar Electrical Installation projects: ≥ N\$ 5 million cumulative value AND Reference Letter per project. ▪ No evidence of Local / Namibian Agent or Partner for after-sales service and maintenance on the UPS. ▪ No evidence of at least 5 similar Mechanical Installation projects: ≥ N\$ 5 million cumulative value AND Reference Letter per project.

#	Bidder's Name	Reasons why bids are NOT responsive		Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No evidence of Local / Namibian Agent or Partner for after-sales service and maintenance on mechanical installation. ▪ No evidence of letter of intent from a commercial bank to provide an "On-Demand Performance Guarantee", equivalent to 10% of the contract price inclusive of provisional sums, contingencies sum and VAT.
8	Sisi Consultancy and Technical Services CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<p><u>In terms of evaluation criteria section IV:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No evidence of at least 5 similar Electrical Installation projects: ≥ N\$ 5 million cumulative value AND Reference Letter per project. ▪ No evidence of Local / Namibian Agent or Partner for after-sales service and maintenance on the UPS. ▪ No evidence of Censored Registration. ▪ No evidence of at least 5 similar Mechanical Installation projects: ≥ N\$ 5 million cumulative value AND Reference Letter per project. ▪ No evidence of Local / Namibian Agent or Partner for after-sales service and maintenance on mechanical installation. ▪ No evidence of letter of intent from a commercial bank to provide an "On-Demand Performance Guarantee", equivalent to 10% of the contract price inclusive of provisional sums, contingencies sum and VAT.
9	Smek Trading Enterprise CC JV Tulu Trading Enterprise CC	Responsive	Considered	Not Applicable
10	Kunene River Construction & Electrical CC	Responsive	Considered	Not Applicable

#	Bidder's Name	Reasons why bids are NOT responsive		Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
11	#Nu#Goaes MS Trading CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<p><u>In terms of evaluation criteria section IV:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No evidence of at Least 5 similar Civil/Structural projects, ≥ N\$ 20 million cumulative value AND Reference Letter per project. ▪ No evidence of Local / Namibian Agent or Partner for after-sales service and maintenance on the UPS. ▪ No evidence of Cenored Registration. ▪ No evidence of at least 5 similar Mechanical Installation projects: ≥ N\$ 5 million cumulative value AND Reference Letter per project. ▪ Site Agent not meeting full requirements. ▪ General Foreman not meeting full requirements. ▪ No evidence of Mechanical Foreman qualifications and experience. ▪ Not fully meeting the requirements on at last three years financial statements. ▪ No evidence of access to financial resources to meet the qualification requirements: cash in hand, lines of credit, minimum line of credit N\$2,500,000.00.

11. Responsiveness of Bid(S) Stage 4:

#	Bidder's Name	Reasons why bids are NOT responsive	
		Working Capital and Financial information	Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
1	Florida Trading CC	Not responsive and disqualified	<u>In terms of evaluation criteria section III:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder's average annual turnover for the last year (N\$4,552,954) did not exceed the financial proposal of the bidder (N\$6,135,246.80).
2	Radical Truss Industries Pty (Ltd) JV NGC Investments CC	Not responsive and disqualified	<u>In terms of evaluation criteria section III:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder's price is not within the threshold/Employer's estimate range.
3	Ndakalimwe Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
4	Smek Trading Enterprise CC JV Tulu Trading Enterprise CC	Not responsive and disqualified	<u>In terms of evaluation criteria section III:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder's average annual turnover for the last year (N\$2,162,573) did not exceed the financial proposal of the bidder (N\$4,851,743.77) The bidder's price is not within the threshold/Employer's estimate range.
5	Kunene River Construction & Electrical CC	Responsive	Not Applicable

12. Best Evaluated Bid:

The BEC conduct a robust review of the bids and allocation was done based on the various requirements as outlined from stage 1 to stage 4. According to ITB clause 37.1 “the Employer shall award the Contract to the Bidder whose offer has been determined to be the lowest evaluated bid and is substantially responsive to the Bidding Document, provided further that the Bidder is determined to be qualified to perform the Contract satisfactorily.” Therefore, the BEC recommend as follows:

12.1 First (1) Recommendation:

The BEC hereby recommends that Kunene River Construction & Electrical CC (Bidder 10) be awarded at a cost of N\$5,384,754.76, exclusive of any subsequent variation from the consulting engineers.

12.2 Second (2) Recommendation:

In the event that Bidder 10, decline the award of contract or fails to provide a Performance Security according to ITB clause 40.1, the BEC would recommend Ndakalimwe Investments CC as the second substantially responsive bidder be awarded at a cost of N\$ 6,256,593.25 exclusive of any subsequent variation from the consulting engineers.